BRITTON'S NECK ELEMENTARY 6882 Hwy 908 Gresham, SC 29546 PK-6 Elementary School GRADES 174 Students ENROLLMENT Jean W. Pearson 843-362-3510 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Everette M. Dean, Jr. 843-423-2891 Mrs. Sylvia Holmes 843-661-8065 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 3 12 54 50 3 IMPROVEMENT RATING: BELOW AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 15 out of 15 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT:

WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM
WWW.SCEOC.ORG

### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress	
2001	Below Average	Below Average	N/A	
2002	Below Average	Below Average	N/A	
2003	Below Average	Below Average	No	
2004	Below Average	Below Average	Yes	

### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

75.4%

### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

# Our School Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

Mathematics Englis

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

**Below Basic** Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local

board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP									
	Enrollment 1st	/	/ %	1	/ %	/	% Proficient and	Performance Objective 1	Participation Objective
	h/Langua						05.5		
All Students	114	100.0	45.1	40.2	13.7	1.0	25.5	Yes	Yes
Gender		400.0	50.0	27.0	40.0	0.0	04.7		
Male	54	100.0	52.2	37.0	10.9	0.0	21.7		
Female	60	100.0	39.3	42.9	16.1	1.8	28.6		
Racial/Ethnic Group White	27	100.0	36.8	36.8	21.1	5.3	42.1	I/S	I/S
African-American	80	100.0	47.4	41.0	11.5	0.0	20.5	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islanders	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	1N/A 7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/S	1/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	I IV/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	1/0	1/0
Not disabled	74	100.0	25.8	54.5	18.2	1.5	36.4		
Disabled	40	100.0	80.6	13.9	5.6	0.0	5.6	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status	10	100.0	00.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,70	1/0
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	114	100.0	45.1	40.2	13.7	1.0	25.5		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	111	100.0	44.0	41.0	14.0	1.0	26.0		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	108	100.0	45.8	39.6	13.5	1.0	25.0	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		

Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 15.5%									
All Students	114	100.0	44.1	45.1	7.8	2.9	27.5	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	54	100.0	41.3	47.8	8.7	2.2	21.7		
Female	60	100.0	46.4	42.9	7.1	3.6	32.1		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	27	100.0	26.3	52.6	10.5	10.5	52.6	I/S	I/S
African-American	80	100.0	50.0	42.3	6.4	1.3	20.5	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not disabled	74	100.0	33.3	50.0	12.1	4.5	40.9		
Disabled	40	100.0	63.9	36.1	0.0	0.0	2.8	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	114	100.0	44.1	45.1	7.8	2.9	27.5		
English Proficiency	English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	111	100.0	44.0	45.0	8.0	3.0	28.0		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	108	100.0	45.8	43.8	7.3	3.1	27.1	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		

### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

PACT PERFO	IRMANCI	E BY GR	RADE LE	VEL			
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced
		Englis	sh/Langu				
Grade 3	32	100.0	57.1	35.7	7.1	N/A	7.1
Grade 4	28	100.0	32.1	39.3	28.6	N/A	28.6
Grade 5	35	97.1	51.7	34.5	13.8	N/A	13.8
Grade 6	25	100.0	34.8	52.2	13.0	N/A	13.0
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 3	24	100.0	45.5	27.3	27.3	N/A	27.3
Grade 4	31	100.0	64.5	32.3	3.2	N/A	3.2
Grade 5	31	100.0	32.3	48.4	19.4	N/A	19.4
Grade 6	28	100.0	33.3	48.1	14.8	3.7	18.5
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
			Mathemat				
Grade 3	32	100.0	57.1	28.6	10.7	3.6	14.3
Grade 4	28	100.0	28.6	35.7	25.0	10.7	35.7
Grade 5	35	100.0	50.0	36.7	13.3	N/A	13.3
Grade 6	25	100.0	47.8	47.8	N/A	4.3	4.3
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 3	24	100.0	31.8	68.2	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4	31	100.0	61.3	35.5	3.2	N/A	3.2
Grade 5	31	100.0	35.5	45.2	16.1	3.2	19.4
Grade 6	28	100.0	40.7	40.7	11.1	7.4	18.5
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE					
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School	
Students (n= 174)					
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	N/C	100.0%	100.0%	
Retention rate	1.0%	Down from 1.8%	3.5%	2.7%	
Attendance rate Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	96.2% 7.9%	Up from 95.6%	96.2% 6.9%	96.4% 4.6%	
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	5.3%		5.8%	3.5%	
Eligible for gifted and talented	10.2%	Up from 9.4%	5.1%	13.5%	
On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV	
On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV	
With disabilities other than speech	5.3%	Down from 6.8%	8.0%	8.2%	
Older than usual for grade	0.6%	Up from 0.5%	2.4%	0.9%	
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%	
Teachers (n= 16)					
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.0%	Down from 56.3%	48.3%	51.4%	
Continuing contract teachers	68.8%	Down from 81.3%	80.0%	87.5%	
Highly qualified teachers** Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	100.0% 0.0%	N/A	92.7% 3.6%	95.0% 0.0%	
Teachers returning from previous year	79.9%	Down from 82.4%	82.4%	86.7%	
Teacher attendance rate	95.2%	Down from 96.9%	94.7%	94.9%	
Average teacher salary	\$38,806	Up 8.5%	\$39,417	\$40,760	
Prof. development days/teacher	11.8 days	Down from 23.6 days	s 13.4 days	12.4 days	
School					
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	4.0	4.0	
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	16.3 to 1	Down from 19.3 to 1	17.1 to 1	18.9 to 1	
Prime instructional time	87.2% \$8,543	Down from 89.4% Up 21.0%	89.0% \$7,020	90.0% \$6,044	
Dollars spent per pupil*  Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	54.9%	Down from 56.8%	63.9%	65.9%	
Opportunities in the arts	Good	Up from Fair	Good	Good	
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0% Yes	No change No change	99.0% Yes	99.0% Yes	
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Good	N/A	Good	Good	
		Our District		State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty	N/A	_	92.0%		
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	y schools**	91.8%		1.1%	
12.11	•	State Objectiv		te Objective	
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	•	65.0%		Yes	
Student attendance in this school		95.3%		Yes	

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

Parents\* 15

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The students, faculty, and administration experienced a year full of work and improvement. We have seen a lot of changes in the past year and expect more in the future. Our main goal for 2003-04 school year was to improve student achievement by aligning classroom assessment to the SC Curriculum Standards. We are continuously working on curriculum alignment.

The teachers and principal are completing a second course designed to improve reading. All teachers participated in Standards In Practice (SIP), a strategy that helps teachers and administrators more effectively align what is taught to the South Carolina Curriculum Standards. More efforts were focused on how to better evaluate and test what is being taught. As a result, our curriculum is stronger and we are constantly reviewing and revising it as needed.

Our greatest strength is the strong commitment the faculty and administration has made to improve our school both academically and physically. The district has obtained a \$500,000 grant that is being used to renovate/convert the former Britton's Neck High School into an elementary school. This grant makes it possible for Britton's Neck Elementary to receive a major facility improvement.

Our students have worked hard and have shown improvement. Our faculty, staff, and administration have worked hard and are more focused. We had excellent parental and community support this school year. This joint effort will make our students the "BEST" they can be. Together we can meet the challenge.

Jean Pearson, Principal Ernestine Hemingway, Chr. School Improvement Council

	Teachers	Students*	
Number of surveys returned	15	28	
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	85.7%	

 $^{\star}$ Only students at the highest elementary school grade level at this school and their parents were included.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS